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Background

• Global stocktake is one of the key components of the ratchet-up mechanism along with the Transparency

• Talanoa Dialogue is seen as “initial stocktaking exercise” for GST but no mandated linkages
  - Fiji presidency statement
  - Enhanced pre-2020 ambition can lay a solid foundation for enhanced post-2020 ambition (1/CP.23)
  - Completion of Talanoa dialogue coincides with the Paris rulebook adoption

• Common challenge - Raising ambition vs nationally determined contributions
  - Can we really raise ambition?? What can we learn from Talanoa Dialogue to develop the GST?
Current state of the negotiation

- Key negotiation items for the GST: APA item 6
  - Identification of the sources of input
  - Development of the modalities
- Develop and review the building blocks as a basis for the draft text – adopted at COP24
  - At the next meetings in APA1-6 and 1-7, there will be continued negotiations on the topics above
  - APA1-6: A tool will be released by the APA Co-Chair in August (streamlined building blocks and some draft texts?)
## Commonality and Differences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Talanoa Dialogue</th>
<th>Global Stocktake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aims/Outcome</strong></td>
<td>Review and Evaluate the progress to further enhance the ambition = take stock</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Evaluate the current state of progress towards the goals set = Where are we?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>⇒ Linkage with Transparency mechanisms and others</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Recall and renew the targets in line with such goals = Where do we want to go?</td>
<td>⇒ NDCs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Seek and discuss the methods and approach to reach the goals = How do we get there?</td>
<td>⇒ Inform NDCs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Targets</strong></td>
<td>Collective progress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>But individual story sharing by Talanoa Dialogue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Period of concern</strong></td>
<td>Pre-2020</td>
<td>Post-2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration and timing</strong></td>
<td>One year (2018), one off</td>
<td>Under negotiation, every 5 years (2023-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Approach</strong></td>
<td>Dialogue, facilitative</td>
<td>Structured, mandated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Process</strong></td>
<td>Party-driven, but extensive inclusion of non-party stakeholders</td>
<td>Party-driven (how much of inclusion?)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Commonality and Differences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Talanoa Dialogue</strong></th>
<th><strong>Global Stocktake</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Structure</strong></td>
<td>Technical phase and Political phase</td>
<td>Mitigation, adaptation and Means of implementation and support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scope/Streams/topics concerned</strong></td>
<td>Primarily mitigation (3 Talanoa questions)</td>
<td>IPCC - Ensure the stocktake based on the objective scientific point of view = where are we?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Best available science</strong></td>
<td>IPCC (?)</td>
<td>IPCC - Ensure the stocktake based on the objective scientific point of view = where are we?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-negotiated</td>
<td>Negotiated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Output</strong></td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Potential areas of lesson learnt

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key debate of GST</th>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Talanoa Dialogue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| How to ensure the effective GST (i.e., effective outcome?, balance top-down and bottom up) | • Technical sides?  
• Output?  
• Scope?                                               | • Phases and streams  
• Expansion of scope?  
• Political statement/outcome of roundtable  
  ⇒ Send clear signals                                      |
| How to reflect “in light of equity”?                   | • Inclusiveness in participation?  
• CBDR-RC?  
• Loss and damage?                                       | • Involvement of non-Party stakeholders – Talanoa Japan (sharing lessons)          |
| How to ensure the best available science?              | • Which source?  
  ⇒ scientific? Data availability                        | • IPCC report – 1.5 degrees special report                                         |
| How to manage the large amount of information?         | • TOR? Technical dialogue?  
• Collection of inf?                                      | • Web platform  
• Analytical capability and capacity                       |
Conclusion and proposal

• Many commonality and a clear continuation from Talanoa Dialogue to Global stocktake
  – Positive and negative aspects
  – But timing is the problem

• Strong output based on the science but with potential wayforward – political commitment
  – Sending strong and clear signals to the non-state actors
  – Providing enabling condition

• Consider the follow up mechanism after the GST
  – collaboration with the existing organisations
  – Keep collaborating with the non-state actors

• Develop the GST in a way to be able to update over time
  – Incorporation of the best practice and learn from the limitation
  – Keep a space to discuss the lesson learnt of the stocktakes into GST modality development
  – Collaboration with other UNFCCC process and others